

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Chapter 1 - Purpose of and Need for Action.....	1-1
1. Introduction.....	1-1
2. Proposed Federal Actions	1-2
3. Purpose of and Need for Action.....	1-4
4. Lead, Cooperating, and Participating Agencies.....	1-5
5. Background.....	1-6
5.1 Study Area	1-6
5.2 Water Development History in the Pecos River Basin.....	1-6
5.3 Reclamation and the Carlsbad Project	1-8
5.4 Pecos River Water Sources and Use	1-9
5.5 Pecos River Compact.....	1-10
5.6 Pecos River Water Rights	1-10
5.7 Carlsbad Project Operations	1-12
5.8 FSID Water Operations.....	1-14
5.9 Compliance with ESA.....	1-14
5.10 NEPA Study History.....	1-17
6. Related and Ongoing Actions	1-18
6.1 Authorities and Agreements	1-18
6.2 Regulatory Requirements.....	1-19
6.3 Required Compliance Actions and Permits	1-20
6.4. Relationship to Other Reclamation and NMISC Regional Activities.....	1-21
6.4.1 Settlement Agreement.....	1-21
6.4.2 MPEIS	1-22
6.4.3 Carlsbad Project Vegetation Management Program.....	1-22
6.4.4 Water Resources Conservation Program	1-22
6.4.5 Active Water Resource Management Program.....	1-22
7. Issues Summary	1-22
8. Document Organization	1-24
Chapter 2 – Alternatives	2-1
1. Introduction.....	2-1
2. Alternative Development Process.....	2-3
2.1 Alternative Formulation and Evaluation Criteria	2-5
2.2 Summary of Alternatives	2-7

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Chapter 2 – Alternatives (continued)	
3. Alternative Assumptions.....	2-10
3.1 Target Flows	2-10
3.2 Sumner Dam Operations.....	2-11
3.3 Block Releases.....	2-11
3.4 Adaptive Management Plan.....	2-12
3.4.1 Roles and Responsibilities	2-12
3.4.2 Communication Process.....	2-12
3.4.3 Documentation and Reporting	2-13
3.4.4 Actions Available to Reclamation	2-13
3.4.5 Criteria, Triggers, Monitoring, and Response	2-13
3.4.6 Other Management Actions	2-14
4. Alternatives Analyzed in Detail.....	2-14
4.1 No Action Alternative.....	2-14
4.1.1 Target Flows	2-14
4.1.2 Block Releases.....	2-15
4.1.3 Carlsbad Project Water Acquisition.....	2-15
4.1.4 Supplemental Water.....	2-15
4.1.5 Shiner Management Measures.....	2-16
4.1.6 Fish Conservation Pool	2-16
4.1.7 Shiner Conservation Measures	2-17
4.2 Taiban Constant (Preferred) Alternative.....	2-17
4.2.1 Target Flows	2-17
4.2.2 Block Releases.....	2-17
4.2.3 Carlsbad Project Water Acquisition.....	2-17
4.2.4 Additional Water Acquisition	2-18
4.2.5 Shiner Management Measures	2-18
4.2.6 Fish Conservation Pool	2-18
4.2.7 Shiner Conservation Measures	2-18
4.2.8 Adaptive Management Plan	2-19
4.3 Taiban Variable Alternative.....	2-19
4.4 Acme Constant Alternative.....	2-19
4.5 Acme Variable Alternative	2-19
4.6 Critical Habitat Alternative.....	2-20
5. Alternatives Considered But Not Analyzed in Detail.....	2-20
5.1 Pre-1991 Baseline as a No Action Alternative	2-21
5.2 Range of Target Flows.....	2-21
5.3 Block Release Proposals	2-22
5.4 Linking CPWA Options to Alternatives	2-22
5.5 Habitat Restoration and Conservation Measures	2-23
5.6 Dam Removal and Elimination of Irrigation	2-23

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Chapter 2 – Alternatives (continued)	
6. Selection of Reclamation’s Preferred Alternative	2-23
6.1 Criteria Used for Selection of the Preferred Alternative	2-24
6.1.1 Ensuring that Reclamation’s Actions Do Not Jeopardize the Shiner	2-24
6.1.2 Amount of Additional Water Needed to Meet Target Flows	2-24
6.1.3 Ease of Operation.....	2-25
6.1.4 Minimal Restrictions on Block Releases	2-25
6.1.5 Occurrence of Intermittency	2-25
6.1.6 Likelihood of the Service Accepting the Alternative.....	2-25
6.1.7 Stability of Biological Opinion.....	2-25
6.1.8 Flexibility of the Alternative.....	2-26
7. Water Acquisition Options	2-26
8. CPWA Options Development Process.....	2-27
8.1 Evaluation Criteria, Analysis, and Ranking of CPWA Options	2-27
8.2 CPWA “A” List Options.....	2-28
9. Detailed Description of CPWA Options.....	2-31
9.1 Water Right Purchase and Land Retirement.....	2-31
9.2 Water Right Lease and Land Fallowing	2-32
9.3 Changes to Cropping Patterns.....	2-32
9.4 Well Field Development.....	2-33
9.5 FSID Gravel Pit Pumping	2-33
10. AWA Options	2-34
10.1 Evaluation Criteria, Analysis, and Ranking of AWA Options	2-34
10.2 “A” List AWA Options.....	2-34
11. Detailed Description of AWA Options.....	2-36
11.1 Water Right Purchase and Land Retirement.....	2-36
11.2 Water Right Lease and Land Fallowing	2-36
11.3 Changes to Cropping Patterns.....	2-37
11.4 Well Field Development.....	2-38
11.5 FSID Gravel Pit Pumping	2-38
12. Summary of Impacts Table	2-39
Chapter 3 - Affected Environment.....	3-1
1. Study Area Setting	3-1
2. Irrigation Districts	3-5
3. Water Resources	3-7

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Chapter 3 – Affected Environment (continued)	
3.1 Resource Indicators.....	3-7
3.1.1 Flood Frequency at the Near Acme Gage.....	3-7
3.1.2 Additional Water Needed to Meet Target Flows.....	3-8
3.1.3 Carlsbad Project Water Supply	3-8
3.1.4 Pecos River Flows at the New Mexico-Texas State Line	3-8
3.1.5 Pecos River Compact Delivery Obligation.....	3-9
3.1.6 Base Inflows in the Acme to Artesia Reach of the Pecos River	3-9
3.1.7 Carlsbad Project Water Acquisition.....	3-9
3.2 Impact Area.....	3-10
3.3 Reservoir Storage.....	3-11
3.4 Reservoir Evaporation	3-12
3.5 Reservoir Seepage.....	3-13
3.6 Operational Priorities	3-13
3.7 Water Operations	3-14
3.8 Streamflows	3-16
3.8.1 Floods: Magnitude and Frequency.....	3-18
3.8.2 Surface Water Diversions from the Pecos River	3-18
3.8.3 Depletions to Riverflows	3-21
3.9 Ground Water.....	3-21
3.9.1 Ground Water Accretions to the River	3-22
3.9.2 Depth to Ground Water.....	3-25
4. Water Quality.....	3-27
4.1 Introduction.....	3-27
4.2 Clean Water Act.....	3-27
4.3 Pecos River	3-27
4.4 Pecos River Basin Reservoirs	3-29
4.5 Ground Water.....	3-31
5. Agricultural Soil and Land Resources	3-33
5.1 Introduction.....	3-33
5.2 Evaluation Area	3-33
5.3 Soil Quality	3-34
5.4 Soil Salinity.....	3-34
5.5 Wind Erosion Potential	3-35
5.6 Water Erosion Potential	3-35
5.7 Soil Sodicity.....	3-36
5.8 Drainage.....	3-36
5.9 Important Farmlands	3-36
5.10 Noxious Weeds	3-38
5.11 Irrigated Acreage	3-38
5.12 Cropping Patterns and Trends.....	3-39

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Chapter 3 – Affected Environment (continued)	
5.13 Crop Yields	3-39
5.14 Water Use Efficiency	3-40
5.15 Fallowing	3-40
5.16 Land Retirement.....	3-40
5.17 Land Development.....	3-40
5.18 Flooding Damage to Lands.....	3-40
6. Biological Resources	3-41
6.1 Introduction.....	3-41
6.2 Terrestrial and Flood Plain Ecosystem Components	3-42
6.3 Riverine Aquatic Ecosystem Components.....	3-43
6.3.1 Santa Rosa Reservoir to Sumner Lake.....	3-44
6.3.2 Sumner Lake to Brantley Reservoir.....	3-44
6.3.3 Brantley Dam to New Mexico-Texas State Line	3-44
6.4 Reservoir Aquatic Ecosystem Components.....	3-45
6.5 Special Status Species.....	3-45
6.5.1 Pecos Bluntnose Shiner (<i>Notropis simus pecosensis</i>)....	3-46
6.5.2 Interior Least Tern (<i>Sterna antillarum athalassos</i>)	3-49
6.5.3 Other Special Status Species.....	3-50
6.6 Critical Habitat Occurring Within the Study Area	3-55
7. Regional Economy	3-57
7.1 Introduction.....	3-57
7.2 Impact Area.....	3-57
7.3 Population	3-58
7.4 Education	3-60
7.5 Total Personal and Per Capita Income	3-60
7.6 Earnings	3-61
7.7 Employment/Unemployment	3-62
7.8 Poverty	3-63
7.9 Value of Agricultural Production.....	3-65
7.10 Municipal and Commercial Water Use.....	3-67
8. Recreation	3-69
8.1 Introduction.....	3-69
8.2 Recreation Facilities.....	3-69
8.2.1 Santa Rosa Reservoir	3-69
8.2.2 Sumner Lake	3-69
8.2.3 Brantley Reservoir	3-70
8.2.4 Avalon Reservoir	3-70
8.2.5 Pecos River and Surrounding Area.....	3-70
8.3 Recreation Visitation and Associated Expenditures	3-71
9. Cultural Resources	3-75
9.1 Introduction.....	3-75
9.2 Definitions and Area of Potential Effect.....	3-75

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Chapter 3 – Affected Environment (continued)	
9.3 Regulatory Background	3-76
9.4 Data Collection and Consultation	3-78
9.5 Existing Conditions.....	3-79
10. Indian Trust and Treaty Assets	3-81
10.1 Introduction.....	3-81
10.2 Definition and Region of Influence	3-81
10.3 Regulatory Background	3-82
10.4 Identification.....	3-82
10.5 Existing Conditions.....	3-83
11. Environmental Justice.....	3-85
Chapter 4 – Environmental Consequences	4-1
1. Impact Analysis Overview.....	4-1
1.1 Use of Computer Models for Impact Analysis	4-2
1.2 Pecos River Decision Support System.....	4-3
1.2.1 General Limitations of Model Results.....	4-3
1.2.2 Results for Specific Locations Along the River	4-4
1.2.3 Consideration of Rules for River Operations.....	4-4
1.2.4 Modeling Period.....	4-5
2. Analyses of Proposed Actions and Use of Model Results	
for Impact Analyses	4-7
2.1 Water Resources	4-7
2.2 Water Quality.....	4-7
2.3 Biological Resources	4-7
2.4 Regional Economy	4-8
3. Water Resources	4-9
3.1 Summary of Impacts	4-9
3.2 Scope and Methods	4-17
3.2.1 Simulation of Alternatives with Bypass Flows Only.....	4-18
3.2.2 Simulation of Alternatives with CPWA Options Added.....	4-19
3.2.3 Estimation of AWN to Meet Target Flows.....	4-19
3.2.4 Simulation of AWA to Further Augment Flows.....	4-20
3.3 Impact Analysis	4-21
3.3.1 No Action Alternative.....	4-21
3.3.2 Taiban Constant Alternative	4-26
3.3.3 Taiban Variable Alternative.....	4-28
3.3.4 Acme Constant Alternative.....	4-32
3.3.5 Acme Variable Alternative	4-34
3.3.6 Critical Habitat Alternative.....	4-36
3.4 Impacts of CPWA Options	4-38

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Chapter 4 – Environmental Consequences (continued)	
3.4.1 Lease/Purchase of FSID Water Rights	4-40
3.4.2 River Pumper Retirement	4-41
3.4.3 CPWA Water from CID.....	4-42
3.4.4 Well Field Development.....	4-44
3.4.5 FSID Gravel Pit Pumping	4-45
3.4.6 CPWA Water Converted to Acreages.....	4-46
3.5 Impacts of AWA Options	4-47
3.5.1 AWA from FSID.....	4-47
3.5.2 AWA from Upstream Acequias.....	4-49
3.5.3 AWA from Well Field Pumping.....	4-50
3.5.4 AWA from FSID Gravel Pit Pumping.....	4-51
3.6 Impacts of Modifications to Block Releases	4-53
4. Water Quality.....	4-57
4.1 Summary of Impacts	4-57
4.2 Scope and Methods	4-58
4.2.1 Assessment of Dry, Normal, and Wet Years for Surface Water.....	4-58
4.2.2 Assessment of Ground Water Quality	4-59
4.3 No Action Alternative.....	4-60
4.4 Taiban Constant Alternative	4-63
4.5 Taiban Variable Alternative.....	4-64
4.6 Acme Constant Alternative.....	4-65
4.7 Acme Variable Alternative	4-65
4.8 Critical Habitat Alternative.....	4-66
4.9. Impacts of CPWA and AWA Options	4-67
4.10 Ground Water Recharge	4-70
4.11 Mitigation Measures	4-71
4.12 Residual Impacts	4-71
5. Agricultural Soil and Land Resources	4-73
5.1 Summary of Impacts	4-74
5.2 Scope and Methods	4-75
5.3 No Action Alternative.....	4-75
5.4 Taiban Constant Alternative	4-75
5.5 Taiban Variable Alternative.....	4-76
5.6 Acme Constant Alternative.....	4-76
5.7 Acme Variable Alternative	4-76
5.8 Critical Habitat Alternative.....	4-76
5.9 Impacts of CPWA and AWA Options	4-76
5.9.1 Water Right Purchase	4-76
5.9.2 Water Right Lease.....	4-77
5.9.3 Changes to Cropping Patterns.....	4-77
5.9.4 Well Field Development	4-77

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Chapter 4 – Environmental Consequences (continued)	
5.9.5 FSID Gravel Pit Pumping	4-77
5.9.6 Summary of Impacts	4-77
5.10 Mitigation Measures	4-78
5.11 Residual Impacts.....	4-79
6. Biological Resources	4-81
6.1 Summary of Impacts	4-82
6.1.1 Terrestrial and Flood Plain Ecosystem Components	4-82
6.1.2 Riverine Aquatic Ecosystem Components.....	4-82
6.1.3 Reservoir Aquatic Ecosystem Components.....	4-86
6.1.4 Selected Special Status Species	4-86
6.2 Scope and Methods	4-88
6.3 Impacts Analysis Overview	4-88
6.3.1 Terrestrial and Flood Plain Ecosystem Components	4-88
6.3.2 Riverine Aquatic Ecosystem Components.....	4-89
6.3.3 Reservoir Aquatic Ecosystem Components.....	4-89
6.3.4 Special Status Species	4-89
6.4 No Action Alternative.....	4-93
6.4.1 Riverine Aquatic Ecosystem Components.....	4-93
6.5 Taiban Constant Alternative	4-95
6.5.1 Riverine Aquatic Ecosystem Components.....	4-95
6.6 Taiban Variable Alternative.....	4-97
6.6.1 Riverine Aquatic Ecosystem Components.....	4-97
6.7 Acme Constant Alternative.....	4-99
6.7.1 Riverine Aquatic Ecosystem Components.....	4-99
6.8 Acme Variable Alternative	4-101
6.8.1 Riverine Aquatic Ecosystem Components.....	4-101
6.9 Critical Habitat Alternative.....	4-103
6.9.1 Riverine Aquatic Ecosystem Components.....	4-103
6.10 Impacts of CPWA and AWA Options	4-104
6.11 Mitigation Measures	4-106
6.12 Residual Impacts.....	4-107
7. Regional Economy	4-109
7.1 Summary of Impacts	4-109
7.2 Scope and Methods	4-112
7.2.1 Changes in Agricultural Production Inputs.....	4-112
7.2.2 Changes in Farm Income	4-113
7.2.3 Income Received from Land Payments	4-113
7.2.4 Annual Maintenance Expenditures Associated with the New Use of the Retired Land.....	4-114
7.2.5 Fiscal Impacts Resulting from Changes in Property Tax Revenues	4-114
7.2.6 Measuring Impacts.....	4-115

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Chapter 4 – Environmental Consequences (continued)	
7.3 Impact Analysis Overview.....	4-115
7.4 Impacts of Alternatives	4-119
7.5 No Action Alternative.....	4-121
7.6 Taiban Constant Alternative	4-121
7.7 Taiban Variable Alternative.....	4-121
7.8 Acme Constant Alternative.....	4-121
7.9 Acme Variable Alternative	4-122
7.10 Critical Habitat Alternative.....	4-122
7.11 Impacts of CPWA and AWA Options	4-122
7.12 Mitigation Measures and Residual Impacts.....	4-122
8. Recreation	4-123
8.1 Summary of Impacts	4-123
8.2 Scope and Methods.....	4-124
8.3 Impact Analysis Overview.....	4-124
8.4 No Action Alternative.....	4-127
8.5 Taiban Constant Alternative	4-127
8.6 Taiban Variable Alternative.....	4-127
8.7 Acme Constant Alternative.....	4-127
8.8 Acme Variable Alternative	4-127
8.9 Critical Habitat Alternative.....	4-127
8.10 Impacts of CPWA and AWA Options	4-128
8.11 Mitigation Measures	4-128
8.12 Residual Impacts.....	4-128
9. Cultural Resources	4-129
9.1 Summary of Impacts	4-129
9.2 Scope and Methods.....	4-130
9.3 Impact Analysis Overview.....	4-132
9.4 No Action Alternative.....	4-132
9.5 Taiban Constant Alternative	4-133
9.6 Taiban Variable Alternative.....	4-133
9.7 Acme Constant Alternative.....	4-133
9.8 Acme Variable Alternative	4-134
9.9 Critical Habitat Alternative.....	4-134
9.10 Impacts of CPWA and AWA Options	4-134
9.11 Mitigation Measures	4-136
9.12 Residual Impacts.....	4-137
10. Indian Trust and Treaty Assets	4-139
10.1 Summary of Impacts	4-139
10.2 Scope and Methods	4-139
10.3 Impact Analysis	4-140
11. Environmental Justice.....	4-141

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Chapter 4 – Environmental Consequences (continued)	
11.1 Summary of Impacts	4-141
11.2 Scope and Methods	4-141
11.3 No Action Alternative.....	4-142
11.4 Taiban Constant Alternative	4-142
11.5 Taiban Variable Alternative.....	4-142
11.6 Acme Constant Alternative.....	4-142
11.7 Acme Variable Alternative	4-143
11.8 Critical Habitat Alternative.....	4-143
11.9 Mitigation Measures and Residual Impacts.....	4-143
12. Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	4-145
13. Relationship Between Short-Term Uses and Long-Term Productivity and Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources	4-147
14. Environmental Commitments	4-149
Chapter 5 - Cumulative Impacts	5-1
1. Water Resources	5-7
2. Water Quality.....	5-11
3. Agricultural Soil and Land Resources	5-13
4. Biological Resources	5-16
5. Regional Economy.....	5-18
6. Recreation	5-19
7. Cultural Resources	5-20
8. Indian Trust and Treaty Assets	5-23
9. Environmental Justice.....	5-23
Chapter 6 - Consultation and Coordination	6-1
1. Public Involvement	6-1
1.1 Scoping	6-1
1.2 Public Involvement Activities During Preparation of the Draft EIS	6-3
1.3 Draft EIS Review.....	6-3
1.4 Final EIS Review and Record of Decision	6-3
2. Agency Coordination and Consultation.....	6-4
2.1 Cooperating and Participating Agencies and Organizations	6-4
2.1.1 Interdisciplinary Team.....	6-4
2.1.2 Review Committee.....	6-5
2.1.3 Technical Workgroups.....	6-5
2.2 Consultation	6-5
2.3 Endangered Species Act, Section 7 Consultation	6-6
2.4 Tribal Coordination.....	6-7

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Distribution List	D-1
List of Preparers	P-1
References	R-1
Glossary	G-1
Index	I-1

Attachments

- 1 Comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Responses
- 2 Adaptive Management Plan

Tables

	<i>Page</i>
1.1 Relevant legislative laws, agreements, and authorizations	1-18
1.2 Required consultations, compliance actions, and permits applicable to EIS preparation and implementation	1-21
2.1 Preliminary alternative elements.....	2-5
2.2 Carlsbad Project Water Operations and Water Supply Conservation EIS alternatives.....	2-8
2.3 Criteria used for selection of the preferred alternative, ordered by consideration.....	2-24
2.4 "A" list: equally weighted ranking of CPWA options.....	2-29
2.5 "A" list AWA options.....	2-35
2.6 Summary of impacts of alternatives on resources	2-39
3.1 Pecos River reservoirs.....	3-12
3.2 Historical total annual CID allotments, based on CID allowable acreage of 25,055 acres	3-20
3.3 Summary of 2004 303(d) listings of impaired waters, mainstem of the Pecos River within the study area	3-28
3.4 Summary of selected water quality data for Pecos River basin reservoirs	3-30
3.5 Average salinity of lands along the Pecos River	3-35
3.6 Number of soil types in study area	3-37
3.7 CID cropping patterns (1992)	3-39
3.8 Special status species list, including Federal endangered species – status of wildlife and plants listed within the study area	3-50
3.9 Population of the study area (1990-2000).....	3-58
3.10 Population projections for the study area (2010-2030).....	3-59
3.11 Education level 2000 data (percent of population 25 years and older)	3-60
3.12 Total personal income and per capita income.....	3-61

Tables (continued)

Page

3.13	Percentage of total earnings by industry (2000)	3-62
3.14	Unemployment for selected years.....	3-63
3.15	Percentage estimates for people of all ages in poverty (selected years).....	3-63
3.16	Socioeconomic data for counties and primary cities and towns in the affected region.....	3-65
3.17	Number of farms and acres (2002)	3-66
3.18	Value of agricultural production (2002) in dollars	3-66
3.19	Farm production expenses and net cash return (2002)	3-67
3.20	Farms with net gains and net losses (2002)	3-67
3.21	New Mexico population projection annual growth rates, by county, as of July 1	3-68
3.22	Recreation use (visits per year) at State parks along the Pecos River (1999-2003)	3-72
3.23	Total and average per visitor day recreation expenditures for selected activities in New Mexico for 2001	3-73
3.24	Estimated annual trip-related recreation expenditures attributable to recreational use of the three Pecos River reservoirs (2003)	3-73
3.25	Estimated angler days for the Pecos River	3-74
3.26	Population of study area by race and Hispanic ethnicity	3-86
4.1	Summary of impacts of alternatives on water resources	4-10
4.2	Summary of impacts on water resources indicators for the No Action Alternative.....	4-25
4.3	Summary of impacts on water resources indicators for the Taiban Constant Alternative	4-27
4.4	Summary of impacts on water resources indicators for the Taiban Variable Alternative	4-29
4.5	Summary of impacts on water resources indicators for the Acme Constant Alternative	4-33
4.6	Summary of impacts on water resources indicators for the Acme Variable Alternative	4-35
4.7	Summary of impacts on water resources indicators for the Critical Habitat Alternative.....	4-37
4.8	CPWA analysis summary for lease/purchase of FSID water rights.....	4-40
4.9	CPWA analysis summary for river pumper retirement	4-41
4.10	CPWA analysis summary of lease/purchase of CID water rights.....	4-42
4.11	CPWA analysis summary for changes to CID cropping patterns	4-43
4.12	CPWA analysis summary for pumping from well fields.....	4-45

Tables (continued)

	<i>Page</i>
4.13 CPWA analysis summary for FSID gravel pit pumping	4-46
4.14 CPWA water requirements converted to acreages.....	4-46
4.15 Impact of AWA from FSID with the Taiban Constant Alternative.....	4-48
4.16 Impact of AWA from FSID with the Acme Constant Alternative.....	4-48
4.17 Impact of AWA from FSID on net depletions to the Carlsbad Project water supply	4-49
4.18 Impact of AWA from acequia districts with the Taiban Constant Alternative	4-49
4.19 Impact of AWA from acequia districts with the Acme Constant Alternative	4-50
4.20 Impact of AWA from acequia districts on net depletions to the Carlsbad Project water supply	4-50
4.21 Impact of AWA from Fort Sumner well field with the Taiban Constant Alternative	4-51
4.22 Impact of AWA from Fort Sumner well field with the Acme Constant Alternative.....	4-51
4.23 Impact of AWA from Fort Sumner well field on net depletions to the Carlsbad Project water supply	4-51
4.24 Impact of AWA from FSID gravel pit pumping with the Taiban Constant Alternative	4-52
4.25 Impact of AWA from FSID gravel pit pumping with the Acme Constant Alternative.....	4-52
4.26 Impact of AWA from FSID gravel pit pumping on net depletions to the Carlsbad Project water supply	4-52
4.27 Summary of impacts of alternatives on water quality in Brantley Reservoir and CID.....	4-57
4.28 Number of dry, normal, and wet years over 60-year modeling period by alternative, based on effective Brantley storage	4-59
4.29 Year between 1940 and 1999 representative of various year types based on effective Brantley storage.....	4-59
4.30 Comparison of EC under No Action Alternative to pre-1991 baseline	4-60
4.31 Impacts of CPWA options on water quality	4-67
4.32 Difference in EC at the Near Artesia gage with bypass flows only from addition of CPWA water to the bypass flows shown in the tables related to the individual alternatives	4-68
4.33 Comparison of adjusted and unadjusted (previously shown) EC ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) at the Near Artesia gage.....	4-69
4.34 Impacts of AWA options on water quality	4-70

Tables (continued)

	<i>Page</i>
4.35 Summary of impacts of alternatives on agricultural soil and land resources.....	4-74
4.36 Impacts of CPWA options on agricultural soil and land resources	4-78
4.37 Impacts of AWA options on agricultural soil and land resources.....	4-78
4.38 Summary of impacts of alternatives on biological resources	4-83
4.39 Percent of time that channel intermittency occurs at the Near Acme gage under each alternative in dry, average, and wet hydrologic conditions during irrigation and nonirrigation seasons.....	4-84
4.40 Percent of time under each alternative that flows at the Near Acme gage are expected to be greater than or equal to 5 cfs and 3 cfs, respectively	4-85
4.41 Occurrences of suitable conditions in documented tern nesting habitats within the storage space of Brantley Reservoir over a 60-year modeling period.....	4-87
4.42 Summary of potential impacts on the Pecos bluntnose shiner.....	4-90
4.43 Summary of potential impacts to interior least tern.....	4-90
4.44 Summary of potential impacts on special status species other than the Pecos bluntnose shiner and interior least tern	4-91
4.45 Impacts of CPWA options on biological resources	4-104
4.46 Impacts of AWA options on biological resources	4-105
4.47 Summary of annual impacts of alternatives on the regional economy compared to pre-1991 baseline	4-109
4.48 Summary of annual impacts of action alternatives on the regional economy compared to No Action Alternative	4-110
4.49 Equivalent acreage retirement and changes to cropping patterns for Carlsbad Project water acquisition options	4-111
4.50 Estimated total one-time impacts from a lump sum land retirement payment, compared to No Action Alternative.....	4-111
4.51 Representative costs and returns for representative irrigated land, land, and dryland with changes to cropping patterns.....	4-116
4.52 Representative crops expenses, production, and revenues in the study region.....	4-117
4.53 Regional economic impacts per acre associated with various cropping patterns and retirement options	4-118
4.54 Regional impacts as measured by a change in the value of total output compared to pre-1991 baseline	4-119

Tables (continued)

	<i>Page</i>
4.55 Regional impacts as measured by a change in regional income compared to pre-1991 baseline	4-119
4.56 Regional impacts as measured by a change in employment compared to pre-1991 baseline (jobs).....	4-119
4.57 Regional impacts as measured by a change in the value of total output compared to No Action Alternative	4-120
4.58 Regional impacts as measured by a change in regional income compared to No Action Alternative	4-120
4.59 Regional impacts as measured by a change in employment compared to No Action Alternative (jobs).....	4-120
4.60 Summary of impacts of alternatives on recreation	4-123
4.61 Percent difference in maximum, average, and minimum flows between action alternatives and the No Action Alternative.....	4-125
4.62 Percent difference in average daily elevation and storage between action alternatives and the No Action Alternative.....	4-126
4.63 Impacts of water acquisition options on recreation use	4-128
4.64 Summary of impacts of alternatives on cultural resources	4-129
4.65 Impacts of CPWA options on cultural resources.....	4-135
4.66 Impacts of AWA options on cultural resources.....	4-136
5.1 Relevant past, present, and reasonably foreseeable actions for cumulative impact analysis	5-1
5.2 Cumulative impacts on water resources	5-7
5.3 Cumulative impacts on water quality	5-12
5.4 Cumulative impacts on agricultural soils and lands	5-13
5.5 Cumulative impacts on biological resources	5-16
5.6 Cumulative impacts on the regional economy.....	5-18
5.7 Cumulative impacts on cultural resources	5-20
6.1 Preliminary list of organizations and consultations	6-6

Figures

	<i>Page</i>
2.1 Alternative and option development process.....	2-2
3.1 Flow duration at Near Puerto de Luna, Near Acme, Near Artesia, and Red Bluff gages (1938-2002)	3-17
3.2 Conceptual block diagram showing the interactions between the aquifers and river	3-22
3.3 Median EC of the mainstem of the Pecos River from Above Santa Rosa Reservoir to Orla, Texas.....	3-29

Figures (continued)

	<i>Page</i>
3.4 Median EC of ground water in various aquifers along the Pecos River	3-32
3.5 Percentage change in projected population.....	3-59
3.6 2002 per capita income in study area.....	3-61
3.7 Poverty rates in study area counties.....	3-64
3.8 2002 per capita income in study area.....	3-86
4.1 Flow exceedance curves under each alternative at the Near Acme gage.....	4-11
4.2 Average annual net depletions to Carlsbad Project water supply under each alternative without CPWA.....	4-14
4.3 Average annual net depletions to State-line flows under each alternative without CPWA.....	4-15
4.4 Average annual increase in New Mexico's Pecos River Compact delivery obligation without CPWA or AWA	4-15
4.5 Relationship between net depletions to the Carlsbad Project water supply and representative target flows under each alternative with no CPWA.....	4-17
4.6 Flow exceedance curves resulting from bypass flows and AWN at the Near Acme gage	4-20
4.7 Impact of No Action Alternative on flows at the Near Acme gage	4-26
4.8 Impact of Taiban Constant Alternative on flows at the Near Acme gage.....	4-28
4.9 Impact of Taiban Variable Alternative (40 cfs) on flows at the Near Acme gage	4-31
4.10 Impact of Taiban Variable Alternative (45 cfs) on flows at the Near Acme gage	4-31
4.11 Impact of Taiban Variable Alternative (55 cfs) on flows at the Near Acme gage	4-32
4.12 Impact of Acme Constant Alternative on flows at the Near Acme gage.....	4-34
4.13 Impact of Acme Variable Alternative on flows at the Near Acme gage.....	4-36
4.14 Impact of Critical Habitat Alternative on flows at the Near Acme gage.....	4-38
4.15 Effect of block release constraints on the Carlsbad Project water supply with pre-1991 baseline no bypass target operations.....	4-54
4.16 Effect of block release constraints on the Carlsbad Project water supply with No Action Alternative bypass target operations.....	4-54

Figures (continued)

	<i>Page</i>
4.17 Effect of 6-week, no-release constraint centered on August 1 on the Carlsbad Project water supply with alternative bypass target operations	4-55
4.18 Effect of higher EC on alfalfa.....	4-61
4.19 Daily EC at the Artesia gage in a normal year under the pre-1991 baseline and No Action Alternative	4-62
4.20 Comparison of EC under No Action Alternative and Taiban Constant Alternative: Near Artesia gage and Below Brantley Dam gage	4-63
4.21 Comparison of EC under the No Action Alternative and the Taiban Variable Alternative: Near Artesia gage and Below Brantley Dam gage	4-64
4.22 Comparison of EC under the Acme Constant Alternative and No Action Alternative: Near Artesia gage and Below Brantley Dam gage	4-65
4.23 Comparison of EC under the Acme Variable Alternative and No Action Alternative: Near Artesia gage and Below Brantley Dam gage	4-66
4.24 Comparison of EC under Critical Habitat Alternative with No Action Alternative: Near Artesia gage and Below Brantley Dam gage	4-66
4.25 Minimum, median, and maximum ground water EC under the pre-1991 baseline and alternatives.....	4-70
4.26 Comparison of intermittency under the No Action Alternative and pre-1991 baseline	4-84
4.27 Number of days with intermittency at the Near Acme gage under the pre-1991 baseline and the alternatives	4-94
4.28 Ninety percent to one-hundred percent exceedence plot of riverflow at the Near Dunlap gage when intermittency occurs at the Near Acme gage	4-94
4.29 Comparison of intermittency under the No Action and Taiban Constant Alternatives.....	4-96
4.30 Comparison of intermittency under the No Action and Taiban Variable Alternatives with target flows of 40 cfs at the Taiban gage	4-98
4.31 Comparison of intermittency under the No Action and Taiban Variable Alternatives with target flows of 45 cfs at the Taiban gage	4-98
4.32 Comparison of intermittency under the No Action and Taiban Variable Alternatives with target flows of 55 cfs at the Taiban gage	4-99
4.33 Comparison of intermittency under the No Action and Acme Constant Alternatives	4-100

Figures (continued)

	<i>Page</i>
4.34 Comparison of intermittency under the No Action and the Acme Variable Alternatives.....	4-102
4.35 Comparison of intermittency under the No Action and the Critical Habitat Alternatives	4-103
6.1 Comment categories.....	6-2

Maps

	<i>Page</i>
1.1 Pecos River basin location map	follows 1-2
2.1 Pecos River stream gage locations.....	follows 2-8
3.1 Ground water basins in the study area	follows 3-10
3.2 Pecos bluntnose shiner critical habitat.....	follows 3-48
3.3 Economic impact analysis area.....	follows 3-58
4.1 Pecos River Decision Support System (PRDSS).....	follows 4-4

Appendices

(Note: Appendices are not printed in this volume but are available, along with the entire FEIS, on the CD version of the FEIS, which is located in a pocket on the inside back cover of this report. The document is also available on the Internet on the Bureau of Reclamation, Albuquerque Area Office, Environmental Documents page: <http://www.usbr.gov/uc/albuq/envdocs/index.html>).

- 1 Biological Opinion
- 2 Water Offset Options Group Documentation Report
- 3 Hydrologic and Water Resources
- 4 Water Quality
- 5 Estimating Regional Economic Impacts
- 6 Consultation Letters